

**SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT**

270306

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)

16 May 01

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION

☒ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS
☐ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM-INVESTIGATOR'S NAME		RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.			
Peter F. Dignan		Lt.	422			021			
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT			DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME		BEAT OF INCIDENT	LOCATION CODE*			
23 [REDACTED] Michigan			02 Apr 01		2112	01			
ACCUSED	NAME		RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.			
	1. CADDIGAN, David, E.		Lt.	262		021			
	2. GLOVER, Kevin,		Sgt.	1597		021			
	SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)	PHYS. COND. CODE†			
	1. M/W	[REDACTED] 54	03 Jan 77		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN 01			
	2. M/B	[REDACTED] 59	1587		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN 01			
IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE				
1. DNA		DNA		DNA	DNA				
2. DNA		DNA		DNA	DNA				
COMPLAINANTS	NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE†
	[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	F/B	[REDACTED] 1	01
VICTIMS	NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE†
	See Above								
WITNESSES	NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE†
	Robin NFI								

☒ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

- ALLEGATIONS**
- #1- It is alleged that an unknown police officer (off duty) committed a battery upon the complainant.
- #2 It is alleged that Lt D. Caddigan #262, Sgt. K. Glover #1587 and Sgt R. Broderdorf #1125 refused to make a police report.
- #3. It is alleged that the complainant was arrested without probable cause by Officers D. Woods # 7874 and C. Urbon #9545
- #4. It is alleged that the complainant was denied medical treatment.

I.A.D. LOCATION CODES*

01 Food Sales/Restaurant	11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility
02 Tavern/Liquor Store	12 Park District Property
03 Other Business Establishment	13 Airport
04 Police Building	14 Public Property Other
05 Lockup Facility	15 Other Private Premise
06 Police Maintenance Facility	16 Expressway/Interstate System
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility	17 Public Way - Other
08 Other Police Property	18 Waterway, Incl. Park District
09 Police Communications System	19 Private Residence
10 Court Room	

I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†

01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal
02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence
03 Injured, Not Hospitalized
04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence
05 Injured, Hospitalized
06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence
07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid
08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence
09 Deceased
10 Deceased - Under Influence

** IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

SUMMARY

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).
In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

See attached continuation of summary report.

ATTACHMENTS	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS- SUPPORTING ALLEGATION LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS- SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
	2, 5-17	48 3, 22,23,24,25,32,33,37,41,46,47	0	48

FINDINGS--RECOMMENDATIONS

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.

Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for days (not to exceed 5 days).

Findings-Allegation #1 (Officer Glenn Lanier #5891) Exonerated.

" -Allegation #2 (Lt Caddigan, Sgt. Glover, and Sgt. Broderdorf) Exonerated

" Allegation #3 (P.O. David Woodss and P.P.O. C. Urbon) Exonerated

" Allegation #4 Exonerated

DATE INITIATED (DATE COMPLAINT WAS RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)	4 Apr 01	DATE COMPLETED (DATE OF THIS REPORT)	19 Jun 01	ELAPSED TIME (TOTAL TIME EXPRESSED IN DAYS)	81
Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.			[REDACTED]		422

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8 1/2 x 11" SHEET OF WHITE PAPER TO CONTINUE ANY ITEM.

SUMMARY REPORT

CR# 270306

ACCUSED: BRODERDORF, Ray, Sgt. 1125, SS# [REDACTED], Emp# [REDACTED] 021
M/W/ DOB [REDACTED] 52, Dt. Of Appt. 4 Jun 73, On Duty, Sworn

WOODS, David, A. P.O. Star #7874, SS# [REDACTED], Em [REDACTED], 01
DOB [REDACTED] 61, Dt. Of Appt. 18 Jun 94, On duty, Sworn

URBON, Colleen, P.P.O. Star #9545, SS# [REDACTED], Emp# [REDACTED] 1
DOB [REDACTED] 74, Date of Appt. 10 Oct 00, On duty, Sworn

LANIER, Glenn, Jr. P.O. Star #5891, SS# [REDACTED], Emp# [REDACTED]
DOB [REDACTED] 50, Date of Appt. 27 Feb 78, Off Duty Status, Assigned to Unit 050.

Investigation: The reporting Lieutenant was assigned this investigation on 4 Apr 01.
After numerous attempts I spoke only briefly to [REDACTED] on 18 Apr. 01 At that time [REDACTED] told me that she would rather not talk to me at length over the telephone and that she did not wish to have a face to face interview with the reporting. She stated that she would write a detailed account of the occurrence and mail it to me. After receiving no response from [REDACTED] the undersigned sent a registered letter to the address given by [REDACTED]. Apparently this was the wrong address and she received it on or about 1 May 01. It should be noted that no receipt from the U.S. Post Office was received by the reporting. I eventually received a response on 11 May 01 consisting of 12 pages. In her letter she addresses the allegations enumerated in attachment #1 and #1-A. She also gives the name of a witness on her behalf, [REDACTED]. After reviewing her letter Capt. F. McCarthy #55 presented Lt. Caddigan #262 with his charges and allegations.

In summary Lt. Caddigan explains his reason for not having a police report made regarding [REDACTED]'s complaint. Simply no crime had been perpetrated against her and it was she and no one else who was violating the law, and the officers present were exercising restraint by not placing her under arrest at that time. It was only after she received a refund of \$20.00 (twice what she paid for admission) and then went to the 001st District and claiming that she was a battery victim, and then returning to the Lounge at 2341 S. Michigan, that she was placed under arrest for making a False Police Report, and Disorderly Conduct. (G-187461 - CB# 14748491, Attachment # 24)(Charges: False Police Report & Disorderly Conduct) (Court Date 29 May 01, Br. 34-2) Lt. Caddigan also states that he had no knowledge of any request made by the complainant regarding medical attention and did not witness the complainant being injured.

The reporting presented Sgt. K. Glover #1587 on 19 May 01 with the charges and allegations made against him. (Attachments # 26,27 &28) Glover responds by stating that he was not even aware the complainant had been arrested until after the fact. Glover relates that no police report was made after his investigation disclosed no crime had been committed. Glover also relates that he had no knowledge that the arrestee had made a request for medical attention.

Sgt. Ray Broderdorf # 1125 was presented on 21 May 01 with charges and allegations made against him. Sgt. Broderdorf states that he was summoned to the scene by Bt. 2120 regarding crowd control at the Epitome Lounge, 2341 S. Michigan. Sgt. Broderdorf relates that he was present when a female complainant approached Sgt. Glover and demanded a police report be made for some reason. Sgt Glover briefly explained the circumstances and Sgt. Broderdorf agreed that no report should be made. Sgt. Broderdorf relates that he had no knowledge of the complainant requesting medical attention.

CR# 207306

SUMMARY REPORT

CR# 270306

F.T.O. David Woods #7874 was presented with the charges and allegations made against him on 21 May 01. (Attachments #34,35 & 36) F.T.O. Woods #7874 relates that the arrest was made after probable cause had been established and that Bt. 2190, Lt. Caddigan had approved the initial finding of probable cause (Att. 24, Box 47)

F.T.O. David WOODS #7874 states that he never refused to make any report but acted as a back up to units on the scene. WOODS further relates that the complainant was never denied medical treatment and was in fact taken to Michael Reese Hospital and seen by a Triage nurse and subsequently left the hospital under her own power returned to the 021st district and I-Bonded. F.T.O. WOODS further states that his trainee, P.P.O. URBON, Colleen #9545 had minimal contact with the arrestee and acted on his directions. (Attachment #33)

On 21 May 01 the reporting interviewed Mr. Don LEWIS, a retired Chicago Police Officer, now working as a private entity in the security field. Mr. LEWIS relates that he was contracted by Radio Station WGCI for security and had hired Officer Glenn Lanier, current police officer but, off- duty on that day to assist him. Mr. LEWIS states that he became aware of the disturbance with [REDACTED] when the line to enter the club began to back up creating a hazardous condition. When he investigated he learned that [REDACTED] was demanding a seat of which there were none available. LEWIS explained to [REDACTED] that seating was on a first come first serve basis and that for the most part this was a standing concert. When [REDACTED] demanded her money back she was initially refused and continued on in a loud and boisterous manner disturbing numerous patrons. [REDACTED] tried to explain to her that there were no refunds. [REDACTED] continued to exacerbate the situation and at that time was escorted outside by Officer Lanier. [REDACTED] states that no one put their hands on her and that she left under her own power. [REDACTED] goes to say that once outside [REDACTED] continued in a loud and abusive manner and that the police were present at that time, Lieutenant and a Sergeant. [REDACTED] then began demanding a police report. Finally [REDACTED] told [REDACTED] he would give her money back if she agreed to leave without further disturbances. She agreed. [REDACTED] then gave [REDACTED] \$20.00 dollars out of his own pocket and asked her to leave. She then said she would not leave regardless. She was subsequently admonished about her behavior by the police and then left. She later returned causing another disturbance and was then placed under arrest. [REDACTED] says he did not observe any misbehavior on the part of any police officer. Nor did Officer Glenn Lanier injure or touch the complainant. [REDACTED] supplied the name of another possible witness, a [REDACTED] Promotional Director for W.G.C.I. The reporting will attempt to contact this person to determine whether she can supply additional evidence in this incident. (Att. 47)

On 26 May 01 the reporting interviewed [REDACTED] S F/B/ DOB [REDACTED] 61, Promotional Director of WGCI Radio Station, 332 S. Michigan, Suite 600, 60604, Tel.# [REDACTED] [REDACTED] relates that she was present when [REDACTED] began creating a disturbance at the concert. And that she related to [REDACTED] that there were no refunds for tickets. Ms. [REDACTED] was asked about the behavior of the police officers in their dealings with [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] related that the officers acted in professional manner and did not see any officer abuse [REDACTED] either verbally or physically. [REDACTED] relates that [REDACTED] created her own problem by not listening to the officers advice. (Att. 48)

[REDACTED] was also asked about Officer Lanier who was working his off duty hours as security for WGCI, she related that Officer LANIER acted like a gentleman as did [REDACTED]. She was also asked if she at any time observed either of these men abuse the complainant either physically or verbally. [REDACTED] related that they did not mistreat the complainant. She went on to say that [REDACTED] contacted her by letter and was asked by [REDACTED] if she would go to court with her and [REDACTED] told her "No" "That she was the cause of this problem".

Upon the undersigned's return from furlough (18 Jun 01) Officer Glenn Lanier, Jr.'s charges, allegations and statement were awaiting me. The statement was dated 29 May 01. (Att.# 43-46) In his statement Officer Lanier relates that he was off duty and working as a security agent for WGCI on 2 Apr 01. Lanier goes on to state he was working on the 2nd floor at the Epitome Lounge when he observed a disturbance involving the complainant and the production supervisor [REDACTED] regarding the \$10.00 admission fee. [REDACTED] asked the complainant several times to leave the premises because she was causing a disturbance and interfering with the event. [REDACTED] refused and Lanier intervened and escorted her to the 1st floor entrance and then returned to his post. The officer denies committing a battery on the complainant. (Att.#46)

On 18 Jun 01 the undersigned received a copy of an EEOC complaint initiated by the complainant. EEOC # 2001-0043. (Att.# 42)

CR# 207306

SUMMARY REPORT

CR# 270306

In view of the aforestated facts the reporting investigating Lieutenant does not find the complainant credible.

It is clear that the accused did everything reasonable to assuage the complainant to avail.